

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,623	05/07/2001	Todd Gross	03955.00024	9502
7590 07/21/2005		EXAMINER		
Banner & Witcoff, LTD			NGO, NGUYEN HOANG	
Att: Douglas Robinson 1001 G. Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20001-4597			2663	
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	09/849,623	TODD GROSS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nguyen Ngo	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 M	arch 2005.	<i>,</i>				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.	•				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
		•				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12 Nov 02.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2663

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 4-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kelly et al. (US Patent 6650869) hereinafter referred to as Kelly.

Regarding claim 1, Kelly discloses in figure 1, a two-way satellite communication system that permits a user terminal (VSAT terminal) to access packet switched networks via satellite (apparatus for coupling download feeds from a satellite to a server/switch in a VSAT terminal, col4 lines 56-59). Kelly further discloses that the high-speed satellite broadcast system supports a USB ready transceiver that is attached to the user terminal to transmit data and to receive the satellite broadcast (comprising a satellite transceiver card in said VSAT terminal receiving broadband data through said download feeds from said satellite, col4 lines 43-57). Kelly further discloses in figure 7, that the transceiver provide the NOC (Network Operation Center) with the information on the amount of backlog that the transceiver possess in which is used to dynamically allocate bandwidth to the users (satellite transceiver card dynamically allocates bandwidth, col37 lines 65- 67 and col38 lines 10-17).

Art Unit: 2663

**Regarding claim 2**, Kelly discloses that the satellite communication system uses carriers that are a TDMA stream (satellite transceiver card is a TDMA based transceiver, col5 lines 28-30).

**Regarding claim 4**, Kelly discloses that the transceiver supports multiple rates (transceiver card can adapt to different data rates, col9 lines 5-7).

**Regarding claim 5**, Kelly discloses that the transceiver supports multiple rates, high speed, receive channel and further discloses that the transceiver can support for high-speed TCP/IP applications (at least one high speed receiver receiving at least one high speed downlink channel, col9 lines 5-9).

**Regarding claim 6**, Kelly discloses that the uplink channel from the transceiver includes multiple carriers, each operating at speeds of 64 kbps, 128 kbps, or 256 kbps, for example (transceiver card comprises at least one uplink transmitter, col5 lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 7, Kelly discloses that the uplink channel from the transceiver includes multiple carriers, each operating at speeds of 64 kbps, 128 kbps, or 256 kbps, for example and that each of theses carriers is a TDMA stream (uplink transmitter is a TDMA based system, col5 lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 2663

Regarding claim 8, Kelly discloses that the uplink channel from the transceiver includes multiple carriers, each operating at speeds of 64 kbps, 128 kbps, or 256 kbps, for example and that each of theses carriers is a TDMA stream which employs several transmission schemes and that the schemes are to be tunable according to frequency (transmitter adapts to a number of different frequencies, col5 lines 25-37).

Regarding claim 9, Kelly discloses that the uplink channel from the transceiver includes multiple carriers, each operating at speeds of 64 kbps, 128 kbps, or 256 kbps, for example and that each of theses carriers is a TDMA stream which employs several transmission schemes and that the schemes are to be tunable according to frequency (different channels include 32kbps, 64kbps, 128kbps, and 256kbps, and a higher speed TDMA link, col5 lines 25-37).

Regarding claim 10, Kelly discloses a system for managing return channel bandwidth in a two-way satellite communication network in which a plurality of transceivers are configured to transmit backlog information to determine the allocation of return channel bandwidth to each of the plurality of transceivers (earth station may dynamically reconfigure the uplink bandwidth to switch to higher capacity channels so that earth station adapts to changes, col2 lines 17-28).

Art Unit: 2663

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelly et al. (US Patent 6650869) hereinafter referred to as Kelly.

Regarding claim 3, Kelly discloses of a frequency table field, which is used to transmit on each of the return channels in the group. Kelly further discloses that changing the frequency for the return channel must be carefully coordinated to avoid interruptions of network operation, or transmission on the wrong return channel frequency (col23 lines 60-67). It should be obvious to a person skilled in the art that a single carrier per channel scheme (single carrier transmit frequency be used) be used in order to effectively transmit data without interruptions.

Art Unit: 2663

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a) Kelly et al. (US 2002/0105976), Method and Apparatus For Deriving Uplink Timing From Asynchronous Traffic Across Multiple Transport Streams.
  - b) Rostoker et al. (US 5793416), Wireless System For The Communication Of Audio, Video, and Data Signals Over A Narrow Bandwidth.
  - c) Reichman et al. (US 6240073), Reverse Link For A Satellite Communication Network.
  - d) Boyden (US 6430394) System For Controlling Communications Between A Terminal And Satellite And Method Therefore.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2663

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-8398. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2663

**Nguyen Ngo** United States Patent & Trademark Office Patent Examiner AU 2663 (571) 272-8398

PRIMARY EXAMINER